

June 30, 2011

Duke Energy Miami Fort Generating Station 11021 Brower Road North Bend, OH 45052

Attention: Ms. Tara Thomas

**Environmental Coordinator** 

Re: Results – June 2011

Low-Level Mercury Sampling Miami Fort Generating Station

North Bend, Ohio

In accordance with your request, URS prepared the following letter report transmitting low-level mercury test results for samples collected at the Miami Fort Generating Station located in North Bend, Ohio.

The scope of work involved the sampling of intake and discharge waters from the following sources and analysis of those samples for low-level mercury.

- 1. River Intake
- 2. Station 601 (WWT Influent)
  [Samples were collected at this station one detention time before samples collected at Outfall 608]
- 3. Outfall 608 (WWT Effluent)
  [Samples were collected at this outfall one detention time after samples collected at station 601]
- 4. Outfall 002 (Pond B Discharge)

Each sample was collected following the required Method 1669: Sampling Ambient Water for Determination of Trace Metals at EPA Water Quality Criteria Levels (Sampling Method) and analyzed by Method 1631. At the request of Duke Energy, total metal mercury samples were collected from Station 601 and analyzed by Method 7470A. Also at the request of Duke Energy, a dissolved low-level mercury sample was collected by Method 1669 from Outfall 608 and analyzed by Method 1631. The collected dissolved sample was filtered at the laboratory utilizing 0.45 micron filtration.

Field staff from URS' Cincinnati office conducted the sampling and TestAmerica Laboratories Inc. located in North Canton, Ohio performed the analytical procedures. The analytical procedures included the analyses of a collected sample and duplicate sample (duplicates collected at Outfall 608 and Outfall 002), field blank (field blanks collected at the River Intake, Outfall 608, and Outfall 002), and trip blank.



Duke Energy - MFS June 30, 2011 Page 2

The results from the **June 1 and 2, 2011** sampling event are presented in the attached Table 1. A copy of the laboratory report is enclosed with this letter.

--ooOoo--

URS is pleased to provide continued assistance to Duke Energy in the execution of their environmental monitoring requirements. If there are any questions regarding the content of this report, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely,

**URS** Corporation

Michael A. Wagner Project Manager

Dennis P. Connair, C.P.G.

Principal

MAW/DPC/Duke Energy-MFS LL Hg 2011 Job No. 14949813

TABLE 1

ANALYTICAL RESULTS
LOW-LEVEL MERCURY
RIVER INTAKE, STATION 601, OUTFALL 608, AND OUTFALL 002 (POND B)

# DUKE ENERGY - MIAMI FORT STATION NORTH BEND, OHIO

		D	ate Sampled /	Results (ng/L, p	oarts per trillion	)	
Sample ID	11/1/10	12/1/10	1/5/11	2/1/11	3/1/11	4/4/11	5/23/11
River Intake	1.1	3.0	9.7	2.1	15.4	< 0.50	4.4
Station 601 (7)	408,000	380,000	315,000	88,200	22,500	132,000	UDFS
Station 601 (7)*	350,000	494,000	6,100	7,600	2,500	7,900	UDFS
Station 601 (7)* [duplicate]	378,000	489,000	6,100	Not Collected	4,100	5,900	UDFS
Station 601 (8)	247,000	184,000	UDFS	101,000	38,400	UDFS	150,000
Station 601 (8)*	104,000	490,000	UDFS	4,300	4,700	UDFS	200,000
Station 601 (8)*[duplicate]	Not Collected	Not Collected	UDFS	3,600	Not Collected	UDFS	190,000
Outfall 608	248	345	97.2	428	180	171	20
Outfall 608 [duplicate]	254	333	102	420	191	180	20
Outfall 608 [dissolved, 0.45 micron]	124	81.7	0.91	40.8	3.7	70.6	15
APB-002	2.9	4.0	3.8	5.3	3.7	0.62	2.1
APB-002 [duplicate]	3.0	3.6	3.4	5.0	4.1	1.3	2.2
Field Blank (RI-FB)	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	1.3	< 0.50	< 0.50
Field Blank (WWT-FB)	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Field Blank (AP-FB)	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50
Trip Blank	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50	< 0.50

Samples collected by URS

Samples analyzed by TestAmerica of North Canton, Ohio

UDFS - Unit down for service, no samples collected.

<sup>\* =</sup> Total mercury analysis utilizing Method 7470A [results converted from ug/L (parts per billion) to ng/L]

TABLE 1 (continued)

	Date Sampled / Results (ng/L, parts per trillion)									
ample ID	6/1/11	7/xx/2011	8/xx/2011	9/xx/2011	10/xx/2011	11/xx/2011	12/xx/201			
River Intake	1.5									
Station 601 (7)	UDFS									
Station 601 (7)*	UDFS									
Station 601 (7)* [duplicate]	UDFS									
Station 601 (8)	97,000									
Station 601 (8)*	280,000									
Station 601 (8)*[duplicate]	310,000									
Outfall 608	79									
Outfall 608 [duplicate]	82									
Outfall 608 [dissolved, 0.45 micron]	27									
APB-002	2.5									
APB-002 [duplicate]	< 0.50									
Field Blank (RI-FB)	0.63									
Field Blank (WWT-FB)	< 0.50									
Field Blank (AP-FB)	< 0.50									
Trip Blank	< 0.50									

Samples collected by URS

Samples analyzed by TestAmerica of North Canton, Ohio

UDFS - Unit down for service, no samples collected.

<sup>\* =</sup> Total mercury analysis utilizing Method 7470A [results converted from ug/L (parts per billion) to ng/L]



THE LEADER IN ENVIRONMENTAL TESTING

# **ANALYTICAL REPORT**

TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

TestAmerica North Canton 4101 Shuffel Street NW North Canton, OH 44720 Tel: (330)497-9396

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Client Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

For:

Duke Energy Corporation 139 East Fourth Street ex 510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

Attn: Ms. Sue Wallace

Att Pt

Authorized for release by: 06/28/2011 02:29:26 PM Nathan Pietras Project Manager II nathan.pietras@testamericainc.com

Designee for

Denise Pohl

Project Manager II

denise.pohl@testamericainc.com

Review your project results through Total Access

·····LINKS ·······

Have a Question?

Ask
The
Expert

Visit us at: www.testamericainc.com

This report has been electronically signed and authorized by the signatory. Electronic signature is intended to be the legally binding equivalent of a traditionally handwritten signature.

Page 1 of 24 06/28/2011

# **Table of Contents**

Cover Page	1
Table of Contents	2
Definitions/Glossary	3
Case Narrative	4
Method Summary	6
Sample Summary	7
Detection Summary	8
Client Sample Results	10
QC Sample Results	12
QC Association Summary	14
Lab Chronicle	16
Certification Summary	19
Chain of Custody	20
Receipt Chacklists	24

## **Definitions/Glossary**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

### **Qualifiers**

#### Metals

Qualifier	Qualifier Description
F	MS or MSD exceeds the control limits
U	Indicates the analyte was analyzed for but not detected.

## Glossary

Abbreviation	These commonly used abbreviations may or may not be present in this report.
₩	Listed under the "D" column to designate that the result is reported on a dry weight basis.
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ND	Not Detected above the reporting level.
MDL	Method Detection Limit
RL	Reporting Limit
RE, RE1 (etc.)	Indicates a Re-extraction or Reanalysis of the sample.
%R	Percent Recovery
RPD	Relative Percent Difference, a measure of the relative difference between two points.

10

12

13

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

•

Job ID: 240-696-1

**Laboratory: TestAmerica North Canton** 

Narrative

#### **CASE NARRATIVE**

**Client: Duke Energy Corporation** 

**Project: Miami Fort Station** 

Report Number: 240-696-1

With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in the analysis of the samples and no problems were encountered or anomalies observed. In addition all laboratory quality control samples were within established control limits, with any exceptions noted below. Each sample was analyzed to achieve the lowest possible reporting limit within the constraints of the method. In some cases, due to interference or analytes present at high concentrations, samples were diluted. For diluted samples, the reporting limits are adjusted relative to the dilution required.

TestAmerica North Canton attests to the validity of the laboratory data generated by TestAmerica facilities reported herein. All analyses performed by TestAmerica facilities were done using established laboratory SOPs that incorporate QA/QC procedures described in the application methods. TestAmerica's operations groups have reviewed the data for compliance with the laboratory QA/QC plan, and data have been found to be compliant with laboratory protocols unless otherwise noted below.

The test results in this report meet all NELAP requirements for parameters for which accreditation is required or available. Any exceptions to NELAP requirements are noted in this report. Pursuant to NELAP, this report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory. This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of TestAmerica and its client.

Calculations are performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results.

All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

All solid sample results are reported on an "as received" basis unless otherwise indicated by the presence of a % solids value in the method header.

This laboratory report is confidential and is intended for the sole use of TestAmerica and its client.

#### RECEIPT

The samples were received on 06/03/2011; the samples arrived in good condition, properly preserved and on ice. The temperature of the coolers at receipt was 19.0 C.

#### TOTAL MERCURY

Samples 601 (8) WWT TOT (240-696-4) and 601 (8) WWT TOT DUP (240-696-5) were analyzed for total mercury in accordance with EPA SW-846 Methods 7470A. The samples were prepared on 06/10/2011 and analyzed on 06/15/2011.

Samples 601 (8) WWT TOT (240-696-4)[100X] and 601 (8) WWT TOT DUP (240-696-5)[100X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

No difficulties were encountered during the mercury analyses.

All quality control parameters were within the acceptance limits.

#### **LOW LEVEL MERCURY**

Samples RI FB (240-696-1), RI (240-696-2), 601 (8) WWT (240-696-3), 608 WWT FB (240-696-6), 608 WWT (240-696-7), 608 WWT DUP (240-696-8), 608 WWT DISS (240-696-9), TRIP BLANK (240-696-10), OUTFALL 002 FB (240-696-11), OUTFALL 002 (240-696-12) and OUTFALL 002 DUP (240-696-13) were analyzed for Low Level Mercury in accordance with EPA Method 1631. The samples were prepared

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

3

4

5

6

8

10

13

#### **Case Narrative**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

## Job ID: 240-696-1 (Continued)

### **Laboratory: TestAmerica North Canton (Continued)**

on 06/03/2011 and 06/06/2011 and analyzed on 06/04/2011, 06/07/2011, 06/08/2011 and 06/09/2011.

Mercury failed the recovery criteria high for the MS of sample OUTFALL 002MS (240-696-12) in batch 240-4076.

Refer to the QC report for details.

Samples 601 (8) WWT (240-696-3)[40000X], 608 WWT (240-696-7)[20X], 608 WWT DUP (240-696-8)[20X], 608 WWT DISS (240-696-9) [10X] and OUTFALL 002 (240-696-12)[2X] required dilution prior to analysis. The reporting limits have been adjusted accordingly.

No other difficulties were encountered during the mercury analyses.

All other quality control parameters were within the acceptance limits.

Method(s) 1631E: Routine preservation and digestion of samples analyzed by EPA 1631E consists of the addition of 0.2mL bromine monochloride (BrCl) solution. Additional BrCl was required to ensure complete sample oxidation for the following sample(s): 601 (8) WWT. An additional method digestion blank, with like amounts of BrCl, was prepared and analyzed with the samples. The mercury concentration in this additional method blank was 0.6040 ng/L.

3

4

5

6

7

Ω

9

12

13

## **Method Summary**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

 Method
 Method Description
 Protocol
 Laboratory

 1631E
 Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)
 EPA
 TAL NC

 7470A
 Mercury (CVAA)
 SW846
 TAL NC

#### **Protocol References:**

EPA = US Environmental Protection Agency

SW846 = "Test Methods For Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods", Third Edition, November 1986 And Its Updates.

#### Laboratory References:

TAL NC = TestAmerica North Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

7

8

4.0

11

12

13

## **Sample Summary**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

**OUTFALL 002** 

**OUTFALL 002 DUP** 

240-696-12

240-696-13

Lab Sample ID Client Sample ID Matrix Collected Received 240-696-1 RI FB Water 06/01/11 17:25 06/03/11 08:00 RI 240-696-2 Water 06/01/11 17:30 06/03/11 08:00 240-696-3 06/03/11 08:00 601 (8) WWT Water 06/01/11 17:50 06/03/11 08:00 240-696-4 601 (8) WWT TOT Water 06/01/11 17:55 240-696-5 601 (8) WWT TOT DUP Water 06/01/11 18:00 06/03/11 08:00 Water 06/02/11 07:45 240-696-6 608 WWT FB 06/03/11 08:00 240-696-7 608 WWT Water 06/02/11 07:50 06/03/11 08:00 240-696-8 608 WWT DUP Water 06/02/11 07:55 06/03/11 08:00 240-696-9 608 WWT DISS Water 06/02/11 08:00 06/03/11 08:00 Water 06/02/11 00:00 240-696-10 TRIP BLANK 06/03/11 08:00 240-696-11 **OUTFALL 002 FB** Water 06/02/11 08:15 06/03/11 08:00

Water

Water

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

06/02/11 08:20

06/02/11 08:25

3

4

6

7

8

06/03/11 08:00

06/03/11 08:00

9

10

12

13

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

\_\_\_\_

Client Sample ID: RI FB	Lab Sample ID: 240-696-1
_	

Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	Dil Fac D Method	Prep Type
Mercury	0.63	0.50	ng/L	1 1631E	Total/NA

# Client Sample ID: RI Lab Sample ID: 240-696-2

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL Un	t Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Mercury	1.5		0.50	ng/	L 1		1631E	Total/NA

# Client Sample ID: 601 (8) WWT Lab Sample ID: 240-696-3

Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	Dil Fac D	Method	Prep Type
Mercury	97000	20000	ng/L	40000	1631E	Total/NA

# Client Sample ID: 601 (8) WWT TOT Lab Sample ID: 240-696-4

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	Dil Fac	D Method	Prep Type
Mercury	280		20	ug/L	100	7470A	Total/NA

# Client Sample ID: 601 (8) WWT TOT DUP Lab Sample ID: 240-696-5

Analyte	Result Qualifie	r RL	MDL Unit	Dil Fac	D Method	Prep Type
Mercury	310	20	ug/L	100	7470A	Total/NA

## Client Sample ID: 608 WWT FB Lab Sample ID: 240-696-6

No Detections.

#### Client Sample ID: 608 WWT Lab Sample ID: 240-696-7

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Mercury	79		10		ng/L	20	_	1631E	 Total/NA

## Client Sample ID: 608 WWT DUP Lab Sample ID: 240-696-8

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil F	ac [	Method	Prep 1	Гуре
Mercury	82		10		ng/L		20	1631E	Total/I	NA AV

## Client Sample ID: 608 WWT DISS Lab Sample ID: 240-696-9

Analyte	Result	Qualifier	RL	MDL	Unit	Dil Fac	D	Method	Prep Type
Mercury	27		5.0		ng/L	10		1631E	 Total/NA

## Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK Lab Sample ID: 240-696-10

No Detections.

## Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 FB Lab Sample ID: 240-696-11

No Detections.

## Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 Lab Sample ID: 240-696-12

Analyte	Result Qualifier	RL	MDL Unit	Dil Fac D	Method	Prep Type
Mercury	2.5	1.0	ng/L		1631E	Total/NA

## **Detection Summary**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 DUP

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-13

No Detections.

6

4

6

7

9

10

4.0

13

| Nercury | Strategy |

Date Collected: 06/03/11 17:55 Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Method: 7470A - Mercury (CVAA) Analyte RL MDL Result Qualifier Unit D Dil Fac Prepared Analyzed 20 ug/L 06/10/11 14:10 06/15/11 14:08 100 Mercury 280

Client Sample ID: 601 (8) WWT TOT DUP

Date Collected: 06/01/11 18:00

Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

 Method: 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)
 Result Moreury
 Qualifier
 RL VIDENTIAL
 MDL VIDENTIAL
 Unit VIDENTIAL
 D VIDENTIAL
 Prepared VIDENTIAL
 Analyzed VIDENTIAL
 DIT Factory

 Mercury
 310
 20
 VIDENTIAL
 VIDENTIAL
 06/10/11 14:10
 06/15/11 14:09
 100

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT FB
Date Collected: 06/02/11 07:45

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-6
Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS) Analyte RL MDL Result Qualifier Unit D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 0.50 Mercury 0.50 U 06/06/11 06:00 06/07/11 17:56 ng/L

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT
Date Collected: 06/02/11 07:50

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-7
Matrix: Water

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

## Client Sample Results

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

Date Collected: 06/02/11 07:55

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT DUP

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-8

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water

**Matrix: Water** 

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-11

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-12

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)

Analyte RL MDL Result Qualifier Unit Dil Fac Prepared Analyzed Mercury 82 ng/L 06/06/11 06:00 06/07/11 18:14

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT DISS Lab Sample ID: 240-696-9

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:00 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)

MDL Unit Analyte Result Qualifier RL D Prepared Dil Fac Mercury 27 5.0 ng/L 06/03/11 14:22 06/04/11 12:08

**Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** Lab Sample ID: 240-696-10

Date Collected: 06/02/11 00:00

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)

Analyte RL MDL Result Qualifier Unit Dil Fac D Prepared Analyzed 0.50 Mercury 0.50 U ng/L 06/06/11 06:00 06/07/11 18:22

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 FB

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:15

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)

Analyte RL MDL Result Qualifier Unit D Dil Fac Prepared Analyzed 0.50 U 0.50 Mercury ng/L 06/06/11 06:00 06/07/11 18:31

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:20

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)

RL Analyte Qualifier MDL Unit Dil Fac Result Prepared Analyzed Mercury 1.0 06/06/11 06:00 06/09/11 11:54 2.5 ng/L

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 DUP

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:25

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-13 Matrix: Water

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)

Analyte Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit D Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 0.50 Mercury 0.50 U 06/08/11 07:09 ng/L 06/06/11 06:00

Client: Duke Energy Corporation

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Prep Batch: 2628

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 3550

Prep Batch: 3722

Prep Type: Total/NA

Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

Method: 1631E - Mercury, Low Level (CVAFS)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-2752/1-B Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 3766** 

MB MB Analyte RL MDL Result Qualifier Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac Mercury 0.50 Ū 0.50 ng/L 05/25/11 08:50 06/04/11 10:51

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-2628/8-A Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 3766** 

Prep Batch: 2628 LCS LCS Spike % Rec. Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit % Rec Mercury 5.00 4.98 100 ng/L 77 - 125

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-3550/1-B Client Sample ID: Method Blank

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 3766** 

мв мв

Analyte Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac 0.50 Mercury 0.50 ng/L 06/03/11 14:22 06/04/11 11:59

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-3722/1-A Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 4076** 

MB MB

Analyte Result Qualifier RL MDL Unit Dil Fac D Prepared Analyzed 06/06/11 06:00 Mercury 0.50 U 0.50 ng/L 06/08/11 06:10

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-3722/2-A Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 4076** 

Prep Batch: 3722 LCS LCS Spike Analyte Added Result Qualifier Limits Unit % Rec Mercury 5.00 ng/L 77 - 125 4 68

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 Lab Sample ID: 240-696-12 MS

**Matrix: Water** 

Prep Type: Total/NA **Analysis Batch: 4076** Prep Batch: 3722 MS MS Spike Sample Sample % Rec.

Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit % Rec Limits Mercury 2.5 5.00 13.6 F ng/L 221 71 - 125

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-12 MSD Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 4076** 

Prep Batch: 3722 MSD MSD Sample Sample Spike % Rec. RPD Analyte Result Qualifier Added Result Qualifier Unit % Rec Limits Limit Mercury 2.5 5.00 11.8 ng/L

## QC Sample Results

Client: Duke Energy Corporation TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

Method: 7470A - Mercury (CVAA)

Lab Sample ID: MB 240-4287/1-A **Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 4418** MB MB

Client Sample ID: Method Blank Prep Type: Total/NA

Prep Batch: 4287

Prep Batch: 4808

Analyte RLMDL Unit Result Qualifier Prepared Analyzed Dil Fac Mercury 0.20 U 0.20 ug/L 06/10/11 14:10 06/10/11 18:27

Lab Sample ID: LCS 240-4287/2-A Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

Analysis Batch: 4418

Prep Batch: 4287 LCS LCS Spike % Rec.

Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit % Rec Limits Mercury 5.00 5.08 ug/L 102 81 - 123

Lab Sample ID: MRL 240-4808/9-A MRL Client Sample ID: Lab Control Sample Prep Type: Total/NA

**Matrix: Water** 

**Analysis Batch: 4948** 

Spike MRL MRL % Rec.

Analyte Added Result Qualifier Unit % Rec Limits

Mercury 0.200 0.210 ug/L 105

# **QC Association Summary**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

### **Metals**

Pre	рΒ	atc	h:	26	28
-----	----	-----	----	----	----

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 240-2752/1-B	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
LCS 240-2628/8-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	1631E	

### Prep Batch: 3550

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 240-3550/1-B	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	1631E	_
240-696-9	608 WWT DISS	Total/NA	Water	1631E	

## Prep Batch: 3722

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 240-3722/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
LCS 240-3722/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-1	RI FB	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-2	RI	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-3	601 (8) WWT	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-6	608 WWT FB	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-7	608 WWT	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-8	608 WWT DUP	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-10	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-11	OUTFALL 002 FB	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-12	OUTFALL 002	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-12 MS	OUTFALL 002	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-12 MSD	OUTFALL 002	Total/NA	Water	1631E	
240-696-13	OUTFALL 002 DUP	Total/NA	Water	1631E	

### **Analysis Batch: 3766**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 240-2752/1-B	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	1631E	2628
LCS 240-2628/8-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	1631E	2628
MB 240-3550/1-B	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3550
240-696-9	608 WWT DISS	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3550

#### **Analysis Batch: 4076**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-696-6	608 WWT FB	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-7	608 WWT	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-8	608 WWT DUP	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-10	TRIP BLANK	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-11	OUTFALL 002 FB	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-12 MS	OUTFALL 002	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-12 MSD	OUTFALL 002	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
MB 240-3722/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
LCS 240-3722/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-2	RI	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-3	601 (8) WWT	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722
240-696-13	OUTFALL 002 DUP	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722

### Analysis Batch: 4223

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-696-12	OUTFALL 002	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722

-

4

7

10

11

13

# **QC Association Summary**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

2

3

4

6

9

10

12

13

Ш

Motale	(Continued
IVICTALS	Continuca

#### Prep Batch: 4287

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 240-4287/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	7470A	
LCS 240-4287/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	7470A	
240-696-4	601 (8) WWT TOT	Total/NA	Water	7470A	
240-696-5	601 (8) WWT TOT DUP	Total/NA	Water	7470A	

### **Analysis Batch: 4418**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MB 240-4287/1-A	Method Blank	Total/NA	Water	7470A	4287
LCS 240-4287/2-A	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	7470A	4287

### Prep Batch: 4808

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MRL 240-4808/9-A MRL	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	7470A	

### Analysis Batch: 4948

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
MRL 240-4808/9-A MRL	Lab Control Sample	Total/NA	Water	7470A	4808
240-696-4	601 (8) WWT TOT	Total/NA	Water	7470A	4287
240-696-5	601 (8) WWT TOT DUP	Total/NA	Water	7470A	4287

### **Analysis Batch: 5576**

Lab Sample ID	Client Sample ID	Prep Type	Matrix	Method	Prep Batch
240-696-1	RIFB	Total/NA	Water	1631E	3722

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

Client Sample ID: RI FB

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Date Collected: 06/01/11 17:25

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-1

Matrix: Water

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		1	5576	06/07/11 17:31	CJ	TAL NC

Client Sample ID: RI

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-2

Batch

3722

4076

4948

Number

Prepared

Or Analyzed

06/06/11 06:00

06/08/11 06:52

06/15/11 14:08

BD

Dilution

Factor

Run

Date Collected: 06/01/11 17:30

Туре

Prep

Analysis

Batch

Method

1631E

1631E

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Prep Type

Total/NA

Total/NA

Total/NA

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-2

Matrix: Water

Analyst Lab
CJ TAL NC
CJ TAL NC

Client Sample ID: 601 (8) WWT Lab Sample ID: 240-696-3

Date Collected: 06/01/11 17:50

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-3

Matrix: Water

**Matrix: Water** 

Matrix: Water

TAL NC

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E			3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		40000	4076	06/08/11 07:01	CJ	TAL NC

Client Sample ID: 601 (8) WWT TOT

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-4

Date Collected: 06/01/11 17:55

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Prep	7470A			4287	06/10/11 14:10	LM	TAL NC	

Client Sample ID: 601 (8) WWT TOT DUP

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-5

100

Date Collected: 06/01/11 18:00

Analysis

7470A

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	7470A			4287	06/10/11 14:10	LM	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	7470A		100	4948	06/15/11 14:09	BD	TAL NC

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT FB

Date Collected: 06/02/11 07:45

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-6

Matrix: Water

Date Collected: 06/02/11 07:45 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

_	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared			
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab	
Total/NA	Prep	1631E			3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC	-
Total/NA	Analysis	1631F		1	4076	06/07/11 17:56	C <sub>2</sub> J	TAL NC	

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT

Date Collected: 06/02/11 07:50 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-7

**Matrix: Water** 

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water

Matrix: Water

**Matrix: Water** 

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E	·		3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		20	4076	06/07/11 18:05	CJ	TAL NC

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT DUP Lab Sample ID: 240-696-8

Date Collected: 06/02/11 07:55 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

Batch Dilution Batch Prepared Method Prep Type Туре Run Factor Number Or Analyzed Analyst Lab

Prep 06/06/11 06:00 Total/NA 1631E 3722 CJ TAL NC Total/NA Analysis 1631E 20 4076 06/07/11 18:14 CJ TAL NC

Client Sample ID: 608 WWT DISS Lab Sample ID: 240-696-9 **Matrix: Water** 

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:00

Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E			3550	06/03/11 14:22	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		10	3766	06/04/11 12:08	CJ	TAL NC

**Client Sample ID: TRIP BLANK** Lab Sample ID: 240-696-10

Date Collected: 06/02/11 00:00 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E			3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		1	4076	06/07/11 18:22	CJ	TAL NC

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 FB Lab Sample ID: 240-696-11

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:15 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E			3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		1	4076	06/07/11 18:31	CJ	TAL NC

**Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002** Lab Sample ID: 240-696-12

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:20 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Type	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E			3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		2	4223	06/09/11 11:54	CJ	TAL NC

## **Lab Chronicle**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

Lab Sample ID: 240-696-13

Matrix: Water

Client Sample ID: OUTFALL 002 DUP

Date Collected: 06/02/11 08:25 Date Received: 06/03/11 08:00

	Batch	Batch		Dilution	Batch	Prepared		
Prep Type	Туре	Method	Run	Factor	Number	Or Analyzed	Analyst	Lab
Total/NA	Prep	1631E			3722	06/06/11 06:00	CJ	TAL NC
Total/NA	Analysis	1631E		1	4076	06/08/11 07:09	CJ	TAL NC

#### **Laboratory References:**

TAL NC = TestAmerica North Canton, 4101 Shuffel Street NW, North Canton, OH 44720, TEL (330)497-9396

## **Certification Summary**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Project/Site: Miami Fort Station

Authority Program **EPA Region Certification ID** Laboratory ACLASS DoD ELAP ADE-1437 TestAmerica North Canton TestAmerica North Canton California **NELAC** 9 01144CA TestAmerica North Canton Connecticut State Program PH-0590 TestAmerica North Canton Florida **NELAC** E87225 TestAmerica North Canton Georgia Georgia EPD N/A TestAmerica North Canton Illinois **NELAC** 5 200004 TestAmerica North Canton Kansas NELAC E-10336 TestAmerica North Canton Kentucky State Program 4 58 TestAmerica North Canton Minnesota **NELAC** 5 039-999-348 Nevada State Program OH-000482008A TestAmerica North Canton TestAmerica North Canton New Jersey **NELAC** 2 OH001 New York **NELAC** 2 10975 TestAmerica North Canton TestAmerica North Canton New York **NELAC** 10975 5 TestAmerica North Canton Ohio **OVAP** CL0024 3 TestAmerica North Canton Pennsylvania **NELAC** 68-00340 TestAmerica North Canton USDA USDA P330-08-00123 3 TestAmerica North Canton West Virginia West Virginia DEP 210 TestAmerica North Canton 5 Wisconsin State Program 999518190

Accreditation may not be offered or required for all methods and analytes reported in this package. Please contact your project manager for the laboratory's current list of certified methods and analytes.

.

TestAmerica Job ID: 240-696-1

- - -

6

a

10

11

12

13

×

11150

Fod

Sample Specific Notes / Special Instructions:

Date/Time:

1150

TAL 0018-1 (04/10)

For lab use only

of 2 cocs

	per:
TestAmerica Cooler Receipt Form/Narrative Lot Num	
North Canton Facility  Project B	11/1/
Client DOCE	(Signature)
Cooler Received on (0-5-1) Opened on Cooler Received on DHL FAS Stetson Client Drop Off TestAmerica Cour	
TestAmerica Cooler # 5110 Multiple Coolers Foam Box Client Cool	er Other
TestAmerica Cooler # 5/10 Multiple Coolers Foam Box Client Cool	es No NA NA
1. Were custody seals of the duside of the desire (a)	
	es No NA NA
Were custody seals off the outside of cooler(s) signed and asset	es No 🗇
Were custody seals on the bottle(s)?	
If YES, are there any exceptions?	es 🖪 No 🔲
2 Chinners' nacking slip attached to the cooler(3):	ished by client? Yes ☑ No ☐
A LIM CHEIDOV DADERS ACCOMPANY THE COMPLETE.	es 🛮 No 🗎
A Were the custody papers signed in the appropriate place.	
5. Packing material used: Bubble Wrap Foam None Other	polers/temps
6 Cooler temperature upon receipt 10. 5 See back of form for multiple C	.oolers/termps
METHOD: IR / Other	
COOLANT: Wet Ice WHO Blue Ice   Dry Ice   Water   I Note	es ☑ No □
7 Did all hottles arrive in good condition (Unbroken)?	
8 Could all bottle labels be reconciled with the COC?	
o Were sample(s) at the correct pH upon receipt?	
10 Were correct bottle(s) used for the test(s) indicated?	
11 Were air hubbles >6 mm in any VOA vials?	
1.299V/See	es □ No □
13 Was a trip blank present in the cooler(s)? Yes 🔼 No 🔯 Were VOAs of the C	OC? Yes   No.
Contacted PM Date by via Ver	bal ☐ Voice Mail ☐ Other ☐
Concerning	
OF CUSTORY	
₩ 4 % CHAIN OF COSTOD F	
14. CHAIN OF CUSTODY  The following discrepancies occurred:	, , , , ,
and the second s	Motals
The following discrepancies occurred:  [Rhgh temp ok 1146 and i	Mota 15
and the second s	Mota 15
and the second s	Motals
and the second s	
The following discrepancies occurred:  (RNgh temp ok LLH6 and)	
The following discrepancies occurred:  (RNgh temp ok LLH6 and)	
The following discrepancies occurred:  (RNgh temp ok LLH6 and)	
The following discrepancies occurred:  (Rhah temp ok LLHC and)	
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R hah temp ok LLHC and )  15. SAMPLE CONDITION  were received after the recomme	nded holding time had expired.
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R. N. g. h. Frent P. n. k. ILHC and 1. 15. SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s)  were received after the recomme were	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container.
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R hah temp ok / LHC and )  15 SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s)  were received after the recomme were Sample(s)	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container.
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh Fen R LLHC and 1)  15 SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s) were received after the recomme were sample(s)  Sample(s) were received with bubble > Sample(s)	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh temp or LLHC and 15 SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s) were received after the recomme were Sample(s) were received with bubble > 16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION  were further	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM)
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh temp ok LLHC and 1  15. SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s) were received after the recomme were sample(s)  Sample(s) were received with bubble sample(s)  16. SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further sample(s)  Were further sample(s)	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) er preserved in Sample
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh French NK / LHC and )  15 SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s) were received after the recommender were sample(s) were received with bubble >   16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were received with bubble >   16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further sample(s) were further sample(s) were further sample(s) were received with bubble >   16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION were further sample(s) were further	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) er preserved in Sample
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh French NK / LHC and )  15 SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s) were received after the recommender were sample(s) were received with bubble >   16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were received with bubble >   16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION  Sample(s) were further sample(s) were further sample(s) were further sample(s) were received with bubble >   16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION were further sample(s) were further	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium or Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh Tryn R NK / LHC end )  Sample(s) were received after the recommended sample(s) were received with bubble >   Sample(s) were received with bubble >   16 SAMPLE PRESERVATION were furthed sample(s) Receiving to meet recommended pH level(s). Nitric Acid Lot# 100110-HNO3; Sulfuric Acid Hydroxide Lot# 100108 -NaOH; Hydrochloric Acid Lot# 092006-HCl; Sodium Hydroxide and Zir (CH3COO)2ZN/NaOH. What time was preservative added to sample(s)?	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium oc Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  (C Ng h try p nk / L/L/C end)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Were received after the recommended by the sample of the	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium or Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  \[ \lambda \lambda \rangle \lambda \rangle \lambda \lam	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium oc Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  (C Ng h try p nk / L/L/C end)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Were received after the recommended by the sample of the	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium oc Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh depth of R LLHC end)  15. SAMPLE CONDITION  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Sample(s)  Were received after the recommender of the received with bubble of the sample	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium oc Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  \[ \lambda \lambda \rac{\text{PRESERVATION}}{\text{Interventure in the received after the recommes ample(s)} \]  \[ \text{were received after the recommes sample(s)} \]  \[ \text{sample(s)} \]  \[ \text{were received with bubble > } \]  \[ \text{16} \]  \[ \text{SAMPLE PRESERVATION} \]  \[ \text{were further receiving to meet recommended pH level(s)}. \]  \[ \text{Nitric Acid Lot# 100110-HNO3; Sulfuric Acid Hydroxide Lot# 100110-HNO3; Sulfuric Acid Hydroxide Lot# 100108 -NaOH; Hydrochloric Acid Lot# 092006-HCl; Sodium Hydroxide and Zir (CH3COO)2ZN/NaOH. \]  \[ \text{What time was preservative added to sample(s)?} \]  \[ \text{Client ID} \]  \[ \text{DH} \]	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium oc Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh den den de l'Alle and l'Alle an	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium oc Acetate Lot# 100108-
The following discrepancies occurred:  (R Ngh den den de l'Alle and l'Alle an	nded holding time had expired. received in a broken container. 6 mm in diameter. (Notify PM) or preserved in Sample Lot# 110410-H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> ; Sodium oc Acetate Lot# 100108-

	Receipt Form/Narrative ty	Date	<u>Initials</u>
Client ID	рН	Date	***************************************
·			
			1. 15 <sup>4</sup> 1. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 16. 1
		* **	
			4.4
		and the second	17 - 1 A
		and the second	5 86 GZ
			6.4
·		a company and the	1,1
		;	
		Bern Green and Comment	Photography
the second of the second of the second			
			1981
			- 1214
			Section 2
821 42			Allen
	and the second of the second o	end Herritakis og dillerthad Skaperen e Se dette engelsen i og sense e	TO SERVE OF THE SERVE
		Method	Coolan
Cooler #	Temp. °C	INTELLIOU	e - site salainitees in impula
	The second of th	a service service and the	i e servicio de la servicio della se
•			
	The second secon	4.5444.6	20 6 4030

Page 23 of 24

## **Login Sample Receipt Checklist**

Client: Duke Energy Corporation Job Number: 240-696-1

Login Number: 696 List Source: TestAmerica North Canton

List Number: 1

Creator: McFadden, John

Question	Answer	Comment
Radioactivity either was not measured or, if measured, is at or below background	N/A	
The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact.	True	
The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with.	True	
Samples were received on ice.	True	
Cooler Temperature is acceptable.	True	ELEVATED TEMPS ARE OK FOR LLHG & LIQUID METALS
Cooler Temperature is recorded.	True	19.0
COC is present.	True	
COC is filled out in ink and legible.	True	
COC is filled out with all pertinent information.	True	
Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC?	True	
There are no discrepancies between the sample IDs on the containers and the COC.	True	
Samples are received within Holding Time.	True	
Sample containers have legible labels.	True	
Containers are not broken or leaking.	True	
Sample collection date/times are provided.	True	
Appropriate sample containers are used.	True	
Sample bottles are completely filled.	True	
Sample Preservation Verified.	True	
There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs	True	
VOA sample vials do not have headspace or bubble is <6mm (1/4") in diameter.	True	
Multiphasic samples are not present.	True	
Samples do not require splitting or compositing.	True	
Residual Chlorine Checked.	N/A	

**TestAmerica North Canton** 

Λ

5

7

9

10

12

13